

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/495,278	01/31/2000	Guillermo A. Ameer	0492611-0364(MIT 8616) 4660	
75	90 03/27/2002		·:	
Choate, Hall & Stewart Exchange Place 53 State Street Boston, MA 02109-2891			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, HELEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• • •							
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/495,278	AMEER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Helen Nguyen	1617				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dare will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 J	lanuary 2002					
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-					
 This action is FINAL. This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-16, 25, 27, and 48</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) <u>17-24, 26, 28-47 and 49</u> are subject to	o restriction and/or election requ	irement.				
	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
141	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arrimor.					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/	a)_(d) or (f)				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	i priority under 00 0.0.0. § 1 10(i	a)-(a) or (i).				
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been receiv reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•					
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures to the control of the foreign language procedures to the control of the foreign language procedures to the control of	visional application has been re	ceived.				
ط لےاردا Attachment	-	o priority under 55 0.5.0. 98 12	0 GHQ/01 121.				
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1617

Detailed action

This application is now currently examined by Art Unit 1617.

The election of paper no. 10, filed January 17, 2002, is acknowledged.

However, the elected invention in paper no. 10 is further restricted as follows:

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35

U.S.C. 121:

Claims 17-24, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 525, subclass 1+.

Claims 26, 28-46, drawn to a composition, classified in class 424, subclass 78.17.

U.S.C. 121:

Claims 47 and 49, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 424, subclass 78.17.

Claims 47 and 49, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 604, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially

Art Unit: 1617

different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as one involving polymerization by light.

Inventions Group III and Group II are related as apparatus and product delivered. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for delivering the product and the apparatus can be used for delivering a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be delivered by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for delivering the product and the apparatus can be used for delivering a different product such as one which polymerizes upon radiation.

Inventions Group II and Group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

Art Unit: 1617

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as coating.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of species

If Applicants elect Group II, the following election of species is required:

<u>Claim 30</u> is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising <u>functional groups</u>. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

Art Unit: 1617

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See M.P.E.P. Sec. 812.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's primary, Edward J. Webman can be

reached at (703) 308-4432 or her supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached at (703) 308-4612. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Helen Nguyen Patent Examiner

March 21, 2002

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500